

REMARKS

Applicant acknowledges the Examiner's renumbering of original claims 36-40 as now being claims 35-39. By this amendment, claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claims 14 and 15, since these claims were indicated to be allowable by the Examiner if rewritten in independent form. Claims 12-15 and 30-39 have been canceled. Claim 28 has been amended to clarify the claim.

Objection to the Drawings

Applicant has amended the specification to include the reference characters A through F shown in Figure 1. No change to the drawing is required.

Objection to the Specification

The present specification includes the trademark "COMPLETE" in the specification using all capital letters in all instances detected by Applicant. Applicant notes that MPEP 608.01(v) requires trademarks used in the specification to capitalize each letter of the word in the bracket or include a proper trademark symbol, such as [trade] or ® following the word. Applicant believes the present specification complies with this requirement.

Remarks Directed to Provisional Rejection of Claims 1-27, 30-33 and 37-40 under 35 U.S.C. §101 – Statutory-Type Double Patenting

Claims 1-27, 30-33 and 37-40 stand provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 over claims 1-28, 45-47 and 54-57 of co-pending application 10/539,273. Applicant acknowledges the provisional rejection and will determine appropriate action in the case that the conflicting claims are patented.

Remarks Directed to Provisional Rejection of Claims 1-8, 10, 19-21, 23-24, 27 and 37 – Non-Statutory Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

Claims 1-8, 10, 19-21, 23-24, 27 and 37 stand provisionally rejected over claims 1-5, 7, 12, 14-18 and 20-26 of co-pending application 10/652,732. Applicant acknowledges the provisional rejection and is willing to file a terminal disclaimer in the case that the conflicting claims are patented.

Rejection of Claims 1-39 under 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph and Rejection of Claims 1, 5-13, 17-29 and 37-39 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The Examiner has indicated that claims 14 and 15 are allowable if re-written in independent form. Applicant has re-written claims 14 and 15 in allowable form including all the limitations of the base claim and the intervening claims. It is therefore submitted that these rejections are now moot and Applicant respectfully requests their withdrawal and allowance of the present claims.

Rejection of Claims 28 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph

Applicant notes the apparently erroneous indication without elaboration that claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. If claims 1-40 are actually rejected under this section, Applicant respectfully requests clarification.

Claims 28 and 34 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite for containing the trademark COMPLETE. Applicant hereby cancels these claims and submits that the rejection is therefore moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests withdrawal of this rejection.

Summary

By this amendment, claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claims 14 and 15, since these claims were indicated to be allowable by the Examiner. Claims 12-15 and 30-39 have been canceled. Claim 28 has been amended. It is believed that no new matter has been introduced by way of these amendments. The claims are believed to be in proper form for allowance. Therefore, reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney with any questions or concerns.

Dated: Nov. 2, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Julie K. Staple

Reg. No. 50,434

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE et al.

2701 Troy Center Drive, Suite 330

Post Office Box 7021

Troy, Michigan 48007-7021

(734) 913-9300 (734) 913-6007 (Fax)